DEMAND NO. 46.—CAPITAL OUTLAY ON IRRIGATION

"That a sum of Rs. 53,52,226 be granted to the Government to cover the excess expenditure incurred over the voted grants for the year 1961-62 in respect of 'Capital outlay on Irrigation'."

DEMAND NO. 52.—CAPITAL ACCOUNT OF ELECTRICITY SCHEMES OUTSIDE THE REVENUE ACCOUNT

"That a sum of Rs. 79,19,602 be granted to the Government to cover the excess expenditure incurred over the voted grants for the year 1961-62, in respect of 'Capital Account of Electricity Schemes outside the Revenue Account'."

DEMAND NO. 55.—COMMUTED VALUE OF PENSIONS

"That a sum of Rs. 1,02,415 be granted to the Government to cover the excess expenditure incurred over the voted grants for the year 1961-62, in respect of 'Commuted Value of Pensions'."

MR. SPEAKER.—Now, there is no time left to take up any controversial Bill. The Hon'ble Minister for Health wanted a particular Bill which is a very small and non-controversial Bill to be taken up. We shall take it up.

MYSORE AYURVEDIC AND UNANI PRACTITIONERS' REGISTRATION AND MEDICAL PRACTITIONERS' MISCELLANEOUS PROVISIONS (AMENDMENT) BILL, 1966.

Motion to consider

SRI K. NAGAPPA ALVA (Minister for Health).—Sir, Ibeg to move;

"That the Mysore Ayurvedic and Unani Practitioners' Registration and Medical Practitioners' Miscellaneous Provisions (Amendment) Bill, 1966 be taken into consideration."

MR. SPEAKER.—Motion moved.

"That the Mysore Ayurvedic and Unani Practitioners' Registration and Medical Practitioners' Miscellaneous Provisions (Amendment) Bill, 1966 be taken into consideration."

† SRI K. NAGAPPA ALVA.—Sir, Chapters I and II of the Mysore Ayurvedic and Unani Practitioners' Registration and Medical Practitioners' Miscellaneous Provisions Act, 1961, came inot force on the date

of publication of the Act in the *Mysore Gazette*, namely, the fifteenth March 1962. As rules had to be made after previous publication, it was not possible to establish the Board, and appoint the Registrar, who had to register the practitioners and prepare a list of practitioners not qualified for registration within a period of two years from the date on which Chapter II came into force as required by sections 16 and 18 of the Act. It is, therefore, proposed to amend the principal Act providing for a period of two years from the date of appointment of the Registrar for registering the practitioners and preparing the list of practitioners not qualified for registration.

The position is that the Act came into force in March 1962 and the Board could be formed only on 31st March 1965.

We have to frame rules. Actually there are 43 examinations and accordingly 43 such qualifications also. We have to get information from these different sections and naturally it would take much time. Those who are qualified could be registered, but those who are not qualified and those who did not have any institutional training and qualification have to come under another category and they could be registered only within a period of two years from the coming into force of the Act. That time has expired now. This amendment has become necessary to enable us to register those people.

SRI SANJIVANATH AIKALA.—Mr. Speaker, Sir, the Mysore Ayurvedic and Unani Practitioners' Registration and Medical Practitioners' Miscellaneous Provisions Act, 1961 came into force on 15th March 1962. The Board contemplated under the Act was appointed nearly 3 years after the coming into force of the Act on 31 March 1965. The Government took nearly 33 months to frame the Rules and to appoint the Board. Framing of the rules and formation of the Board was not at all a difficult task and that could have been done much earlier. The matter was raised on the floor of this House several times and every time the reply of the Health Minister was that the Board would be appointed very early. I do not know why there was so much delay in the formation of the Board like this in which there was no room for much controversy. Perhaps politics had a greater part to play in the appointment of the members of the Board. It has been clearly envisaged in the Act that representatives of the Legislature are to be appointed on the Board, but when the Board was appointed it was regrettable to find that no member from either House of Legislature was appointed in the Board. The only delay I presume for the appointment of the Board was that Government was not sure whom to select as the members of the Board. Then, after the formation of the Board, it took nearly 4 months to appoint the Registrar. I do not know why such a long delay took place for the formation of the Board and the appointment of the Registrar. Again I understand that there was difference of opinion among the Ministers. Certain Ministers wanted their own man to be appointed as Registrar, but the Health Minister was not willing to appoint the person whom the other Ministers wanted to appoint as the Registrar. That was the main reason for this inordinate delay to appoint the Registrar.

(SRI SANJEEVANATH AIKALA)

Many more things are required to be done under the Act like registration of the practitioners and making facilities available to them, but because of the delay in the appointment of the Registrar the entire Act was almost dead to these practitioners because everything thinges a round the Registrar. I hold the Government responsible for the delay. As the period prescribed under Section 16, namely, 2 years from the coming into force of the Act, has expired, the Government has naturally to come before the Legislature for extending the period. Our complaint is against the delay in taking the necessary action within the prescribed time. I have been repeatedly saying in this House that the Government are treating Ayurveda and Unani systems of medicine with a step-motherly attitude and this has been amply proved in this case. I can point out several other instances where the Ayurvedic practitioners do not get the treatment they deserve. Even in teaching institutions the demands of Ayurvedic students are not met with even thought hey are legitimate. So, I submit that whatever facilities and benefits are contemplated to be given to the Ayurvedic practitioners under the Act should be given here and now because delay means a lot of inconvenience to these practitioners.

With these words, I welcome this amendment.

†ಶ್ರೀ ಎಸ್. ಗೋಪಾಲಗೌಡ.—ಸ್ವಾಮಿ, ತಮಗೆ ಗೊತ್ತಿರುವಹಾಗೆ ಚಿಕ್ಕಂದಿನಿಂದಲೂ ನಾನು ಮಾನ್ಯ ಆರೋಗ್ಯ ಸಚಿವರಾದ ಡಾಕ್ಟರ್ ನಾಗಪ್ಪ ಆಳ್ವರವರನ್ನು ಚೆನ್ನಾಗಿ ನೋಡಿ ಬಲ್ಲೆನು. ಶ್ರೀ ಸಂಜೀವನಾಥ ಐಕಳ ಅವರು ಈ ರೀತಿ ಟೀಕೆ ಮಾಡುವುದು ಸಮಂಜಸವಲ್ಲವೆಂದು ನನಗನ್ನಿಸುತ್ತದೆ. ಡಾಕ್ಟರ್ ಆಳ್ವರವರ ಸ್ವಭಾವ, ಗುಣವನ್ನು ನೋಡಿದವರಿಗೆ ಚೆನ್ನಾಗಿ ಅನುಭವಕ್ಕೆ ಬಂದಿದೆ. ಅವರು ಎಲ್ಲಾ ವಿಷಯವನ್ನೂ ಚೆನ್ನಾಗಿ ಪರಿಶೀಲನೆಮಾಡಿ, ನಿಧಾನವಾಗಿ ಮಾಡತಕ್ಕವರು. ಅವರು ವೇಗವಾಗಿ ಹೋಗಬೇಕು ಅನ್ನುತ್ತೀವೆ. ಆದರೆ ಬಸವನ ಹುಳು ವೇಗವಾಗಿ ಹೋಗುತ್ತದೆ. ಆದು ಎಷ್ಟುದೂರ ಹೋಗುತ್ತದೆ ಎನ್ನುವುದು ಆದರ ವೇಗವನ್ನು ತಿಳಿದವರಿಗೆ ಗೊತ್ತು. ಆದ್ದರಿಂದ ಶ್ರೀ ಸಂಜೀವನಾಥ ಐಕಳರವರ ಅನುಚಿತವಾದ ಟೀಕೆ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ನಾನು ವಿಷಾದಿಸುತ್ತೇನೆ.

ಇನ್ನು ಈ ಆಯುರ್ವೇದ ಮತ್ತು ಯುನಾನಿ ವೈದ್ಯರುಗಳ ನೊಂದಣಿ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಈ ಮಸೂದೆ 1961ರಲ್ಲಿ ಬರೆದಿದ್ದು 1962ರಲ್ಲಿ ಕಾನೂನಾಯಿತು. ಡಾಕ್ಟರ್ ಆಳ್ವರವರು ಮಂತ್ರಿ ಪದವಿ ವಹಿಸಿಕೊಳ್ಳುವುದಕ್ಕೂ ಈ ಮಸೂದೆ ಕಾನೂನಾಗುವುದಕ್ಕೂ ಒಂದು ಕೊಯಿನ್ಸಿಡೆನ್ಸ್. ಆ ಲಾಗಾಯಿತು ಪ್ರಾರಂಭವಾಗಿಬಿಟ್ಟು, ಆಗ ಒಂದು ಮಂಡಲಿಯನ್ನು ರಚನೆ ಮಾಡಿದ್ದರು ಮತ್ತು ರಿಜಿಸ್ಟ್ರಾರ್ ಅವರನ್ನು ನೇಮಕ ಮಾಡಿದ್ದರು ಮತ್ತು ಕಾನೂನು ಪ್ರಕಾರ ಏನು ಹಿದ್ದುಪಡಿ ಮೂಲಕಾನೂನಿಗೆ ಆಗಬೇಕಾಗಿತ್ತೋ ಆ ಪ್ರಯತ್ನವನ್ನು ಈಗ ಮಾಡುತ್ತಿದ್ದಾರೆ. ಅದರ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಇಷ್ಟು ದಿವಸದ ನಂತರವಾದರೂ, 1966ನೇ ಇಸವಿ, ಫೆಬ್ರವರಿ ತಿಂಗಳೊಳಗೆ ಆದರೂ ಈ ಒಂದು ಕ್ರಮವನ್ನು ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಳ್ಳುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಅವರು ಶಕ್ತರಾದರಲ್ಲಾ ಎನ್ನುವುದನ್ನು ಗಮನಿಸಿ, ಎಲ್ಲಾ ಯುನಾನಿ ಮತ್ತು ಆಯುರ್ವೇದ ವೈದ್ಯರ ಪರವಾಗಿ ಅವರಿಗೆ ಧನ್ಯವಾದಗಳನ್ನು ಅರ್ಪಿಸಬೇಕಾಗಿದೆ. ಈ ಸಂದರ್ಭದಲ್ಲಿ ನಾನು ಹೆಚ್ಚಾಗಿ ಏನೂ ಹೇಳಬೇಕಾದುದಿಲ್ಲ. ಇದುವರೆಗೆ ಆಗಿರತಕ್ಕಂಥ ವಿಳಂಬಕ್ಕೆ ಮಾನ್ಯ ಶ್ರೀ ಸಂಜೀವನಾಥ ಐಕಳರವರು ಏನೋ ರಾಜಕೀಯವಿತ್ತೆಂದು ಹೇಳಿದಾಗ, ಡಾಕ್ಟರ್ ಆಳ್ವರವರು ಸ್ವಲ ಹೌದು ಎನ್ನುವರೀತಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ನಗುತ್ತಾ ಇದ್ದುದನ್ನು ನೋಡಿದೆ. ಇದ್ದರೂ ಇರಬಹುದು, ಇರುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಸಾಧ್ಯ. ಅದನ್ನು ನಾನು ಅಲ್ಲಗಳೆಯುವುದಿಲ್ಲ. ಈ ಯುನಾನಿ ಮತ್ತು ಆಯುರ್ವೇದದ ವೈದ್ಯರುಗಳು ಮನವಿಗಳನ್ನು ಮೇಲಿಂದ ಮೇಲೆ ಕೊಟ್ಟಿದ್ದಾರೆ. ಸಮ್ಮೇಳನಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಹೇಳಿದ್ದಾರೆ. ಮತ್ತು ಅನೇಕ ಸಾರ್ವಜನಿಕ ವೇದಿಕೆಗಳಿಂದ ಅವರು ಸರ್ಕಾರವನ್ನು ಒಂದೇ ಸಮನಾಗಿ ಕೇಳಿಕೊಂಡಿದ್ದಾರೆ, "ನಮ್ಮ ಸ್ಥಿತಿಗತಿಗಳನ್ನು ಸುಧಾರಿಸಬೇಕು, ನಮಗೆ ಸಲ್ಲಬೇಕಾದಂಥ ಒಂದು ಮರ್ಯಾದೆಯನ್ನು, ಘನತೆಯನ್ನು, ಸ್ಥಾನಮಾನಗಳನ್ನು ಕಾನೂನು ಬದ ಪಾಗಿ ನಮಗೆ ದೊರಕಿಸಿ ಕೊಡಬೇಕು, ಇಲ್ಲವಾದರೆ ಈ ಆಯುರ್ವೇದ ಮತ್ತು ಯುನಾನಿ ಯಾವ ಪದ್ಧತಿ. ಭಾರತದಲ್ಲಿ ಅನೇಕ ಸಹಸ್ರಾರು ವರ್ಷಗಳಿಂದಲೂ ನಡೆದುಕೊಂಡು ಬಂದಿದೆಯೋ, ಅದರ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಮಲತಾಯಿ ಧೋರಣೆಯನ್ನು ಅನುಸರಿಸುತ್ತೀರಿ ಎಂದಾಗುತ್ತದೆ, ಎಂದು. ತಾವು ಅಲೋಪತಿಕ್ ಡಾಕ್ಟರ್ ಆಗಿರುವುದರಿಂದಲೇ ಆ ರೀತಿ

ದೂರುಗಳು, ಅಪಾದನೆಗಳು ಬರುತ್ತವೆ. ಇನ್ನೊಂದು 10 ತಿಂಗಳು ಕಳೆದಮೇಲೆ ಚುನಾವಣೆಗಳು ಇತ್ಯಾದಿ, ಇತ್ಯಾದಿ ಬರುತ್ತವೆ. ಇಂಥ ಒಂದು ಸದವಕಾಶ ತಮಗೆ ದೊರೆತಿದೆ. ಆಯುರ್ವೇದ ಪಾತ್ರ, ಯುನಾನಿ ವೈದ್ಯರುಗಳ ಸ್ಥಿತಿಗತಿಗಳನ್ನು ತಾವು ಚೆನ್ನಾಗಿ ಅರಿತಿದ್ದೀರಿ. ಆದರೆ ಶ್ರೀ ಸಂಜೀವನಾಥ ಐಕಳರವರು ಮೂಲತಾಯಿ ಮಕ್ಕಳು ಎಂದು ಬೇಜಾರು ಪಟ್ಟುಕೊಳ್ಳುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಅವಕಾಶ ಕೊಡದೆ ಅವರಿಗೆ ಸಲ್ಲಬೇಕಾದ ನ್ಯಾಯವಾದ ಸ್ಥಾನಮಾನಗಳನ್ನು ದೊರಕಿಸಿಕೊಡುವುದಕ್ಕೆ, ಅದಷ್ಟುಬೇಗ ಪಟ್ಟಿ ಮಾಡುವುದಕ್ಕೆ, 1966ರ ಆಖೈರಿನೊಳಗೆ ಕನಿಷ್ಠ ಫೆಬ್ರವರಿ 1967ರೊಳಗೆ, ಅಂದರೆ ಮುಂದಿನ ಚುನಾವಣೆ ನಡೆಯುವುದರೊಳಗೆ ಆಗಲಿ, ಅವರಿಗೆ ಸರ್ಟಿಫಿಕೇಟ್ ಕೊಡುವ ಏರ್ಪಾಡು ಮಾಡಬೇಕು. ಈಗ ಬಹಳ ನಿಕೃಷ್ಟ ಸ್ಥಿತಿಯುಲ್ಲಿದ್ದಾರೆ. ಯಾವ ಯಾವುದೋ ಊರಿನ ಬೋರ್ಡ್ ಹಾಕಿಕೊಂಡಿರುತ್ತಾರೆ. ಜನರು ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆಮಾಡುವ ಸಂದರ್ಭ ಬರುತ್ತದೆ. ದೊಡ್ಡ ಬೋರ್ಡ್ ಇದ್ದರೂ ಸಹ ಜನಗಳು ಹೋಗದೆ ಇರಬಹುದು. ಅವರಿಗೆ ಇಂಥ ಸನ್ನಿವೇಶಗಳಿರುವುದರಿಂದ ತಾವು ಇವರ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಇನ್ನು ವಿಳಂಬವಾಗದ ಹಾಗೆ ನೋಡಿಕೊಳ್ಳಬೇಕು. ನಿಧಾನವಾದರೂ ತಾವು ಕೆಲವು ಅನುಕೂಲಗಳನ್ನು ಮಾಡುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಸಾಧ್ಯವಾಗುತ್ತದೆ. ಇದನ್ನು ಸಹ ಅವರ ಜೊತೆಗೆ ಸಾಧಿಸಬೇಕೆಂದು ಹೇಳಿ ನನ್ನ ಮಾತನ್ನು ಮುಗಿಸುತ್ತೇನೆ.

SRI K. NAGAPPA ALVA.—Sir, the Hon'ble Member, Sri Aikala's criticism was very unkind.

MR. SPEAKER.—Is he a Doctor? Somebody calls him Dr. Sanjeevanath Aikala.

SRI K. NAGAPPA ALVA.—He said there was politics, and Politics was responsible for the delay. I must say, Sir, it was delayed a little just to take out politics from this. In fact, there was nothing there to say that legislators should be included in this Board. I purposely avoided them also. It is they who play politics; all the Members of the Board are active in this field of Ayurveda and Unani and even in the matter of Registrar's appointment, the delay was because in the rules that we have framed then, the Registrar himself must be registered before he is to be appointed. That also we overcame. That took two or three months. That was how it was delayed. The delay as I have said is because we had to get all this information. After that there were so many difficulties. Hence, now at least I am glad that my friend Sri Gopala Gowda has supported and Sri Sanjeevanath Aikala also welcomed this amendment. This amendment is brought forward with the idea of having the registration done at the earliest time. I can also assure the members that it has been my earnest endeavour to see that status is given to these Ayurveda Doctors and also Ayurveda Education is given a standard.

Accordingly, I must take this opportunity to expalin in a few words that whatever that has been possible has been done. Ayurveda education has been started and it will have sound foundation. It will be Ayurveda, it is Suddha Ayurveda course, diploma course as well as Degree course. The students were mislead to a certain extent. They started a strike. I convinced them and they have withdrawn the strike on the assurance given to them that the degree course will be there and status also certainly will be given to them. Hence, the idea of bringing this amendment before this House is to start registration. Sri Aikala said that this has been delayed, and the Act is dead. It is not at all. Only a Section of this Act could not be enforced, *i.e.*, unqualified people could not be registered and for that only, the amendment is here. Sir, with these words, I commend this Bill for the acceptance of this House.

12-30 P.M.

MR. SPEAKER.—The question is :

" That the Mysore Ayurvedic and Unani Practitioners' Registration and Medical Practitioners, Miscellaneous Provisions (Amendment) Bill, 1966, be taken into consideration."

The motion was adopted.

MR. SPEAKER.—The question is :

That clauses 2 to 5, both inclusive, stand part of the Bill.

The motion was adopted.

Clauses 2 to 5, both inclusive, were added to the Bill.

MR. SPEAKER.—The question is :

That clause 1, the Title and the Preamble stand part of the Bill."

The motion was adopted.

Clause 1, the Title and the Preamble were added to the Bill.

Motion to pass.

SRI NAGAPPA ALVA.—I move :

" That the Mysore Ayurvedic and Unani Practitioners' Registration and Medical Practitioners' Miscellaneous Provisions (Amendment) Bill 1966, be passed."

MR. SPEAKER.—The question is :

" That the Mysore Ayurvedic and Unani Practitioners' Registration and Medical Practitioners' Miscellaneous Provisions (Amendment) Bill, 1966, be passed."

The motion was adopted.

MR. SPEAKER.—Before I adjourn the House I would make a little request that the Hon'ble Member Sri K. Lakkappa may be reminded to get me a list of cases where rulings are still awaited. If he feels embarassed he may tell the House that he has made a mistake. Anyway I do not want it to be left unreplied. It is only in that light I mention it.

The House will now adjourn and reassemble on Wednesday, the 23rd February 1966 at one of the clock.

The House adjourned at Thirty-five Minutes past Twelve of the clock to meet again at One of the Clock on Wednesday, the 23rd February 1966

WD 6105—GPB—500—24-2-1966